IFIC/14-71

NON-DIAGONAL CHARGED LEPTON MASS MATRIX, THE TBM AND NON-ZERO θ_{13}

Alma D. Rojas*

AHEP Group, Instituto de Física Corpuscular C.S.I.C./Universitat de València.

C/ Catedrático José Beltrán, 2, E- 46980 Paterna (València), Spain.

(Dated: October 23, 2014)

Assuming that the neutrino mass matrix is diagonalized by the TBM, we looked for the charged lepton mass matrix textures which render a lepton mixing matrix consistent with data. We were interested in the textures with the maximum number of zeros, so, we explored the cases of real matrices with three (and also four zeros) and found which of them provide solutions in agreement with data. We present the successful Yukawa textures and obtained the relative sizes of their non-zero entries. We found some interesting relations among the entries of these textures in terms of the charged lepton masses. Complete details can be found in [1].

PACS numbers: 11.30.Hv, 12.15.Ff, 14.60.Pq

arXiv:1410.6107v1 [hep-ph] 22 Oct 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

In order to explain the flavor structure of Yukawa couplings in the Standard Model (SM), discrete flavor symmetries have been extensively used. The freedom to choose the Yukawa matrix structures has lead model builders to study some particular textures, for instance, the Nearest Neighbor Interaction (NNI) form (see [2] and references therein), the Fritzsch-like [3] and non Fritzch-like textures (see [4] for an overview of both cases) and n-zero textures, among others.

In the lepton sector, the matrix which contain the three mixing angles together with a CP violating phase is the lepton mixing matrix U_{PMNS}, defined as $U_{PMNS} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu$. It can be parametrized in different ways, one of them is the standard parametrization [5]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where $s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij}$ and δ is the Dirac CP violating phase.

---

* Poster presenter. Co-authors: J.Alberto Acosta, Alfredo Aranda and Manuel A. Buen-Abad.

Email address: alma.rojas@ific.uv.es (Alma D. Rojas)

Contribution to the proceedings of the 37th International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP 2014), 2-9 July 2014, Valencia, Spain.

The most used ansatz for the lepton mixing matrix had been the tribimaximal mixing (TBM) matrix [6]:

$$U_{TBM} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

which implies a zero value for θ_{13}. However, after the experimental confirmation of its non-zero value [7], the TBM requires corrections coming possibly from the charged lepton sector.

II. APPROACH

If we demand $U_\nu = U_{TBM}$ to be the matrix which diagonalizes M_ν and U_l the one that diagonalizes $M_l^2 = M_l M_l^\dagger$ (in the weak basis), we wanted to determine the form of M_l such that the U_{PMNS} has values in the allowed experimental range, being

$$U_{PMNS} = U_l^\dagger U_{TBM} \quad \Rightarrow \quad U_l = U_\nu U_{PMNS}^\dagger, \tag{3}$$

and U_l is such that

$$M_l^2 = U_l M_{lD}^2 U_l^\dagger, \qquad M_{lD}^2 \equiv M_{lD} M_{lD}^\dagger \tag{4}$$

where $M_{lD} = \mathrm{diag}(m_e,\ m_\mu,\ m_\tau)$, and M_l is an arbitrary mass matrix with unknown values

$$M_l = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5}$$

In this first approach we considered the particular case of a real mass matrix, then M_l^2 takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^2+b^2+c^2 & ad+be+cf & ag+bh+ci \\ ad+be+cf & d^2+e^2+f^2 & dg+eh+fi \\ ag+bh+ci & dg+eh+fi & g^2+h^2+i^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

We were interested in determining the textures with the maximum number of zeros (having such textures can be useful to model builders) because zeros may be due to some underlying flavor symmetry. So, we started looking for all the possible three-zero textures and we found

sixteen different matrices (up to column permutations) shown in (7).

$$M_{301}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&c\\d&e&0\\g&h&i\end{pmatrix};\quad M_{302}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&c\\d&e&f\\g&h&0\end{pmatrix};\quad M_{303}=\begin{pmatrix}0&b&c\\d&0&0\\g&h&i\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{304}=\begin{pmatrix}0&b&c\\d&0&f\\g&h&0\end{pmatrix};$$
$$M_{305}=\begin{pmatrix}0&b&c\\d&e&f\\g&0&0\end{pmatrix};\quad M_{306}=\begin{pmatrix}a&b&c\\0&0&f\\g&h&0\end{pmatrix};\quad M_{307}=\begin{pmatrix}a&b&c\\0&e&f\\g&0&0\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{308}=\begin{pmatrix}0&b&c\\0&e&f\\g&0&i\end{pmatrix};$$
$$\checkmark M_{309}=\begin{pmatrix}0&b&c\\0&0&f\\g&h&i\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{310}=\begin{pmatrix}a&0&0\\d&e&0\\g&h&i\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{311}=\begin{pmatrix}0&b&c\\d&e&f\\0&0&i\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{312}=\begin{pmatrix}0&b&c\\d&0&f\\0&h&i\end{pmatrix};$$
$$\checkmark M_{313}=\begin{pmatrix}0&0&c\\d&e&f\\0&h&i\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{314}=\begin{pmatrix}a&b&c\\0&e&f\\0&0&i\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{315}=\begin{pmatrix}a&b&c\\0&0&f\\0&h&i\end{pmatrix};\ \checkmark M_{316}=\begin{pmatrix}a&0&c\\0&e&f\\0&h&i\end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

III. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

To perform the analysis, we made a scan over the allowed experimental range of the mixing angles taken from [7, 8], looking for the mixing angles combinations that provided real solutions to equation (4) (for the charged lepton masses we used the values in [5] and set δ_{CP} values to 0 and π). For textures $M_{301}, M_{302}, M_{303}, M_{305}, M_{306}, M_{307}$ we did not find any solution. That leave us with ten possible textures. For each texture of the Yukawa matrix, we find the maximum and minimum orders of magnitude of their entries. We also searched for the solution volume of each three-zero texture (i.e. the set of points given by the three mixing angles that make possible to find real solutions to the entries of the M_l matrix). In nine of the ten cases the solution volume fills the complete experimentally allowed parameter space. The most interesting case is that of texture M_{304} (with zeroes on the diagonal), which give us the solution volume showed in Figure 1. The main characteristic of this case is that



Figure 1: Solution volume of M_{304} for $\delta = \pi$. Similar results are obtained for $\delta = 0$.

the angle θ_{23} is very restricted. Its allowed interval is [0.7763,0.7876] for $\delta_{CP} = \pi$, and [0.7750,0.7873] for $\delta_{CP} = 0$. These intervals exclude the best fit value of θ_{23}.

We found that there are extra conditions on the entries of $Y_l = \frac{1}{\langle H \rangle} M_l$ (being $\langle H \rangle \approx$ 246GeV the Higgs vev) in terms of the charged lepton masses:

$$\begin{aligned} (Y_l Y_l^T)_{11} = y_a^2 + y_b^2 + y_c^2 &= 7.7 \times 10^{-7} \\ (Y_l Y_l^T)_{22} = y_d^2 + y_e^2 + y_f^2 &= 5.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ (Y_l Y_l^T)_{33} = y_g^2 + y_h^2 + y_i^2 &= 2.1 \times 10^{-7} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

One can hope that these conditions may be due to an internal structure of the mixing matrix U_l. Therefore, we considered a CKM-like parametrization for the U_l mixing matrix in terms of three angles θ_{13}^l, θ_{12}^l y θ_{23}^l,

$$U_l = R(\theta_{23}^l) \cdot R(\theta_{13}^l) \cdot R(\theta_{12}^l), \tag{9}$$

$$R(\theta_{23}^l) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_{23}^l & \sin\theta_{23}^l \\ 0 & -\sin\theta_{23}^l & \cos\theta_{23}^l \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

$$R(\theta_{13}^l) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{13}^l & 0 & \sin\theta_{13}^l \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{13}^l & 0 & \cos\theta_{13}^l \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

$$R(\theta_{12}^l) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{12}^l & \sin\theta_{12}^l & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{12}^l & \cos\theta_{12}^l & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Then, just naming U' instead of U_{PMNS}, we find the following relations

$$\sin^2\theta_{13} = 1 - (U'_{11})^2 - (U'_{12})^2 \ , \tag{13}$$

$$\sin^2\theta_{23} = \frac{(U'_{23})^2}{(U'_{11})^2 + (U'_{12})^2} \ , \tag{14}$$

$$\sin^2\theta_{12} = \frac{(U'_{12})^2}{(U'_{11})^2 + (U'_{12})^2} \ . \tag{15}$$

Evaluating the above equations in the ranges $\theta_{ij}^l \in [0, \pi/2]$, all the points $(\theta_{23}^l, \theta_{13}^l, \theta_{12}^l)$ that matched the experimental allowed intervals are shown in Figure 2. We obtain a small region given by two small angles and a large one: $\theta_{12}^l = [0.06 - 0.15]$, $\theta_{13}^l = [0.07 - 0.16]$ and $\theta_{23}^l = [1.43 - 1.57 \approx (\pi/2)]$. Taking into account the allowed values for (θ_{23}^l, θ_{13}^l y θ_{12}^l), we can use a first-order approximation for the respective rotation matrices of U_l

$$\approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \theta_{13}^l \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\theta_{13}^l & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta_{12}^l & 0 \\ -\theta_{12}^l & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{16}$$



Figure 2: Sets of values for the angles of the charged lepton mixing matrix that match the experimental results. The yellow (light) band in the upper part of the plot is the region consistent with all experimental results. (See [1] for more details)

where $\epsilon = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_{23}^l$. Then, from equations (4) and (6) and using the approximation (16), we find the following model independent relations:

$$\begin{aligned}(M_l^2)_{11} &= a^2 + b^2 + c^2 \\ &\approx m_e^2 + m_\mu^2 \theta_{12}^{l2} + m_\tau^2 \theta_{13}^{l2} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(M_l^2)_{22} &= d^2 + e^2 + f^2 \\ &\approx m_\tau^2 + m_e^2(-\epsilon\theta_{12}^l - \theta_{13}^l)^2 + m_\mu^2(\epsilon - \theta_{12}^l\theta_{13}^l)^2 \\ &\sim m_\tau^2 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(M_l^2)_{33} &= g^2 + h^2 + i^2 \\ &\approx m_\tau^2\epsilon^2 + m_e^2(\theta_{12}^l - \epsilon\theta_{13}^l)^2 + m_\mu^2(-1 - \epsilon\theta_{12}^l\theta_{13}^l)^2 \\ &\sim m_\mu^2 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

These expressions explain the observations considered before and represent the main result of this analysis.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Assuming that the neutrino mass matrix is diagonalized by the TBM matrix we tested several zero textures for the charged lepton mass matrix looking for those which provided U_{PMNS} values in accordance with data. From the analysis we identified ten three-zero textures satisfying our assumptions and delimited the size range of their entries. One of

these textures (the one with zeros in the diagonal) exhibited a different and interesting behavior restricting the mixing angle θ_{23} to be located in a small range to agree with data. Proposing a CKM-like parametrization of the lepton mixing matrix, U_l, we obtained some texture-independent relations in terms of the three rotation angles in U_l and the charged lepton masses. We restricted ourselves to the case of real charged lepton mass matrices and fixed the lepton CP violating phase, but a model independent analysis considering the lepton sector CP violating Dirac phase was later realized in [9].

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work supported by CONACyT (Mexico) and the Spanish grants FPA2011-22975 and Multidark CSD2009-00064 (MINECO), and PROMETEOII/2014/084 (Generalitat Valenciana).

[1] J. A. Acosta, A. Aranda, M. A. Buen-Abad and A. D. Rojas, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 1413 (2013) [arXiv:1207.6093 [hep-ph]].

[2] A. Aranda, C. Bonilla and A. D. Rojas, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 036004 (2012) [arXiv:1110.1182 [hep-ph]].

[3] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. B **70**, 436 (1977); Phys. Lett. B **73**, 317 (1978).

[4] M. Gupta and G. Ahuja, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **26**, 2973 (2011) [arXiv:1206.3844 [hep-ph]].

[5] K. Nakamura *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], J. Phys. G **G37**, 075021 (2010) and 2011 partial update for the 2012 edition (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov).

[6] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B530**, 167 (2002). [hep-ph/0202074].

[7] K. Abe *et al.* [T2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 041801 (2011) [arXiv:1106.2822 [hep-ex]]; Y. Abe *et al.* [DOUBLE-CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 131801 (2012) [arXiv:1112.6353 [hep-ex]]; F. P. An *et al.* [DAYA-BAY Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 171803 (2012) [arXiv:1203.1669 [hep-ex]]; J. K. Ahn *et al.* [RENO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 191802 (2012) [arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex]].

[8] T. Schwetz, M. Tortola, J. W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. **13**, 109401 (2011). [arXiv:1108.1376 [hep-ph]].

[9] J. A. Acosta, A. Aranda and J. Virrueta, JHEP **1404**, 134 (2014) [arXiv:1402.0754 [hep-ph]].